

Argus Financial Consultants

A. Christopher Engle, LUTCF,
CFP®, ChFC®, AEP®

Ryan P. Smith, ChFC®,
CASL™, CFP®

Brian C. Sandberg, ChFC®

Peter M. Smith

971 Spaulding SE, Suite B
Ada, MI 49301

616.949.8300

www.EyeOnArgus.com



Hello Everyone,

Each newsletter we are featuring one of the four pillars of our business. This edition we are discussing our pledge to offer independent, objective advice in the article "Celebrating Independence".

If you are inspired to read more financial information, visit our Learning Center at www.EyeOnArgus.com.

Please feel free to suggest topics by sending your suggestion to Joy Britton, Client Services Manager, at joy@EyeOnArgus.com.

Inside this issue:

- ♦ Six Steps to Controlling What You Can Control
- ♦ Social Security Tip
- ♦ Celebrating Independence



Excellence is Defined by the Success of Our Clients

Summer 2014



Six Steps To Controlling What You Can Control

Investing by its very nature—that is, putting money away for use at some future time—requires

us to submit ourselves to variables we can neither predict nor control. World events, government policies, interest rates, taxation, inflation and the business cycle are just some of the forces which may act upon our investments over time, for good or ill.

But unknowability is not the same thing as chaos. We can identify patterns—in history as well as in human behavior—which have usually served the investor well over time. And as Lyndon Johnson's biographer Robert Caro said not long ago, "The power of history is in the end the greatest power." Thus, we may perceive both historical trends and behavioral qualities which have often led to significantly enhanced investment outcomes in the long run. Behaving in harmony with these trends and qualities, we may practice **rationality under uncertainty**.

The converse is also true—and it is the converse which explains the blighted investment outcomes suffered by many or perhaps even most people. That is, the ultimate irrationality is for investors to waste great quantities of time and energy studying variables which we have every reason to know are random, and which cause people to underperform not just the markets but their own investments. The three main avenues of this failure are economic forecasting, market timing, and the handicapping of the fu-

ture relative performance of similar investments based on their recent past performance.

The tragedy is not simply that these are fool's errands, though they surely are. The tragedy is that they are historically all but irrelevant to lifetime investment outcomes. They are the tail, trying (and failing) to wag the dog.

But there are, in my experience, six variables which, practiced together, will often cause the long-term, goal-focused investor to achieve investment outcomes that are supportive of his financial plan. Three of these are temperamental qualities—beliefs, if you prefer—and are therefore much more important than the other three, which are behaviors, or essential portfolio strategies.

Warren Buffett recently opined that "Temperament is more important than IQ" in successful investing. And the pioneering computer scientist Alan Kay went so far as to aver that "Point of view is worth 80 IQ points." The successful investor's governing temperamental quality—and the first of my six variables—is **faith in the future**.

Though I don't know how I'd set about proving this to you, I'm convinced that it is impossible for anyone who is fundamentally fearful of the future to achieve long-term investment success. The investor who perceives the world as chaotic, fragile and forever on the brink of some ultimate catastrophe will always get scared out of the markets again and again near panic low points, not merely wiping out his return but inexorably decimating his capital.

Continued on next page

Continued from previous page

Optimism is historically the only realism—the only worldview that squares with the facts, and with the historical record. Surely we see this in every aspect of our lives, and the longer we live, the more obvious it becomes. It isn't just technology—although the iPhone5 I carry contains more computing power than existed in the earth when I started kindergarten in 1948.

My father's life expectancy at birth in 1909 was 47 years; his great-grandson's, at birth in 2002, was 78. (It's gone up in the dozen years since.) I am only one generation away from the influenza pandemic that carried off 50 to 100 million people worldwide—including my father's older sister. My bride was born in 1944; on her mother's side she had two aunts and two uncles. One aunt and one uncle died of tuberculosis before Joan was six. Deaths from TB and flu in this country this year will be all but nonexistent.

As I write, a hundred people have died violently in the Ukraine over the last week, and this is surely a terrible thing. But just seventy years ago—that is, in my lifetime—World War II killed 180,000 people in an average week. Also within the past few days, a man whose family emigrated to this country from the Ukraine when he was 16, and who lived on food stamps until they could get on their feet, sold his company to Facebook for \$19 billion.

In the summer of my 21st year, three young men were brutally murdered for helping African Americans register to vote. Six years ago, an African American was elected President of the United States by a large majority; four years later, he was re-elected by an even larger one. One can go on like this for a very long time, but perhaps just one more juxtaposition may serve to focus the point:

The joint life expectancy of a nonsmoking couple of average retirement age (62) is thirty years. Thirty years ago, the Standard & Poor's 500-Stock Index was around 160. Its earnings were running at about \$17, and its dividend around \$7.50. As I write, the Index is 1,835. The consensus earnings estimate for 2014 is in the neighborhood of \$120, and I'm guessing the dividend will end the year either side of \$38. Can you even count—much less recall the details of—all the disasters that have befallen this country and the world, just in those thirty years? Thus you may see that **faith in the future** is not optimism in spite of the facts but because of them.

The second temperamental quality which seems to me to increase the chances of long-term invest-

ment success is **patience**. We live not in an age of enduring truth but of late-breaking news. Hewing to the long-term plans and portfolios which we and our financial advisors created to fund our most important multi-decade goals becomes ever more difficult, as the 24/7 financial news cycle, and the endless blather of numberless talking heads, pressure us to *do something smart and timely right now*.

But when the pattern of history reasserts itself as it always has, we discover to our chagrin that the best policy would have been the dictum "*If your goals haven't changed, don't change your plan; if your plan isn't changing, don't change the portfolio.*" Never mind what's working now; try to stay focused on what's always worked in the long run.

The third and last temperamental quality is **discipline**. This may not at first glance appear much different from patience, but wait until the next time the market goes down 30%, with every talking head you hear in the media extrapolating the current "crisis" right over the cliff. Patience, to me, is the ability to keep doing the right thing, but discipline is more about forbearance, or the capacity not to react—not to do the wrong thing, most notably panicking out of the markets at a moment of maximum pessimism. As the late Louis Rukeyser always said during apparent "crises:" Don't just do something; *stand there*.

We proceed now to the three behaviors or portfolio strategies that I believe will carry us into the higher echelons of lifetime investors. Note once more that these practices are simply ways of carrying out the temperamental qualities enumerated above: *the principles dictate the practices, in the sense that beliefs always dictate behaviors*.

The first practice (and fourth of these six steps) is **asset allocation**, which simply refers to the percentage of equities versus bonds in your portfolio, on average, over your investing lifetime. This raw number—more than any other portfolio variable, and perhaps more than all of the others combined—will likely dictate your lifetime investment return. It would almost have to.

The long-term compound return of large-company stocks over the last roughly nine decades—that is, with dividends reinvested—is close to ten percent annually. For small company stocks, it's approaching twelve percent, because of their obviously greater risk (more small companies will fail) and volatility. The compound return of long-term, high quality corporate bonds (interest reinvested) is just under six percent.

Continued on next page



Continued from previous page

Net out from these nominal returns long-term inflation during this period of three percent, and you discover the following: at seven and nine percent respectively, large and small company stocks have historically compounded at two to three times the three percent real return of quality bonds. In a nutshell, this tells you why the percentage of your portfolio allocated to equities versus bonds has historically been decisive. (Of course, then you face for the rest of your life the consuming impulse to panic out of declining equities; now you see why temperament is critical.) The issue of which equities—that is, the pernicious illusion of superior selection as the key variable—may thus be seen to be a dead end.

The second practice is **diversification**, which to me is perhaps the ultimate expression of rationality under uncertainty. Within the equity asset class, ought we to own large company or small company equities? Domestic/developed world equities or emerging markets? Actively managed funds or indexed funds/ETFs? Speaking only for myself, my answer is unequivocally yes—to all of the above. (The only thing I wouldn't own is individual stocks. Why? Because I'm nowhere near smart enough.)

Adequate diversification is quite comprehensively expressed, to me, in the following formulation: *I'll never own enough of any one thing to make a killing in it; I'll never own enough of any one thing to get killed by it.* I hold this formula to be beyond rationality under uncertainty: to me, it is uncertainty worn as a badge of honor. This is a badge I expect to wear for the rest of my life.

And the third practice, and last of my six steps, is **rebalancing**. Let's hypothesize that your plan leads you to invest equally in, say, five different equity sectors/disciplines: twenty percent of your capital in each. A year later, you'll almost surely find that this mix has slipped out of whack: some of your portfolios will have outperformed others, such that they now contain more than twenty percent of your portfolio, whereas the relative laggards contain less than your twenty percent plan.

What most Americans will do, if anything, is to sell the laggards and put the money in the hot trends, thereby simultaneously selling low and buying high. There may be a more reliable formula for consistent underperformance, but if there is I've yet to discover it. Rebalancing (on the same day every year) causes us to sell off some of our positions in things that have had a successful run and to redeploy the capital into things which are relatively out of favor. There is a term for this. It's **investing**—as opposed to speculating on the continuance of recent price trends.

•••

I cannot say with any precision what percentage of your lifetime investment return will be driven by these three principles and three practices. In the next breath, I can't doubt that for myself, they have accounted for the vast preponderance of my investment outcome to date. They have been the dog; timing and selection were the tail. And I've long since had the dog's tail docked.

© March 2014 Nick Murray. All rights reserved.
Reprinted by permission.

Social Security Tip

If you have reached full retirement age, but are not yet age 70, you can ask Social Security to suspend retirement benefit payments. If you apply for benefits, you may suspend benefits for any month for which you have not received payment. Benefits will start automatically the month you reach age 70. If you change your mind and want the payments to start before age 70, just tell Social Security when you want your benefits reinstated. Your request may include benefits for any months when your payments were suspended. For a complete explanation of suspending retirement benefit payments, go to: <http://www.socialsecurity.gov/retire2/suspend.htm>.



Asset allocation does not ensure a profit or protect against a loss. Diversification does not eliminate the risk of market loss. Investing involves risks, including loss of principal and fluctuating value.

The opinions voiced in this material are for general information only and are not intended to provide specific advice or recommendations for any individual. To determine which investment(s) may be appropriate for you, consult your financial advisor prior to investing. All performance referenced is historical and is no guarantee of future results. All indices are unmanaged and may not be invested into directly.

Securities and Advisory Services offered through LPL Financial, a Registered Investment Advisor. Member FINRA/SIPC.



Like us



Network with us



Follow our blog



Excellence is Defined by the Success of Our Clients

Celebrating Independence

In the financial services industry, independence is a relative term. How do you know if your advisor offers independent advice? When we began discussing starting a financial firm, one of the most important criteria is that we would offer independent, objective advice. Before Argus Financial Consultants even had a name, our decision making was driven by the concept that we would be employed by our clients and that our success would be measured by the success of our clients. Here are some indicators of our independence:

- ◆ We have a self-directed practice. All decisions about our business practices are made locally by the Partners and employees of Argus Financial Consultants.
- ◆ We are not subject to outside sales goals or quotas.
- ◆ We have full autonomy to make recommendations based on client need and suitability.
- ◆ Our broker/dealer, LPL Financial, offers no proprietary investment products. Additionally, LPL Financial makes available thousands of investment options through agreements with the nation's leading providers of investment products.

Now, as Argus Financial Consultants approaches its eighth anniversary, we continue to believe that our independence is critical to providing the highest quality service to our clients. We have no doubt that what we may forgo in additional revenue and incentives; we more than make up through stronger, trusted client relationships and referrals.

~ A. Christopher Engle, Partner

Argus Newsletter Summer 2014



**Argus Financial Consultants
971 Spaulding SE Suite B
Ada, MI 49301**